

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/864,335	05/25/2001	Naomi Sugimoto	209045US2	2280
22850	7590 08/14/2002	•		
OBLON SPIVAK MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT PC FOURTH FLOOR 1755 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY			EXAMINER	
			PENDEGRASS, JOAN H	
ARLINGTON, VA 22202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2852	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			DATE MAILED: 08/14/2003	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				۲			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/864,335	SUGIMOTO ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit	_			
		Joan Pendegrass	2852				
TI Period for R	ne MAILING DATE of this communication a eply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE MAII - Extensions after SIX (i - If the perici - If NO perici - Failure to i - Any reply i	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION s of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. of for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a red for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state received by the Office later than three months after the mainent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron tute, cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)□ Re	esponsive to communication(s) filed on _	·					
2a)∐ Th	nis action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.					
clo	nce this application is in condition for alloosed in accordance with the practice unde						
Disposition (
•	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
<u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.						
	im(s) is/are objected to. im(s) are subject to restriction and	Vor election requirement					
Application	• • ——	iror election requirement.					
9)⊠ The	specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10)⊠ The	drawing(s) filed on 07 September 2001 is	s/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected	to by the Examiner.				
A	oplicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) <u></u> The	proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disappr	oved by the Examiner.				
lf :	approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action.					
12)[] The	oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner.					
Priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acl	knowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ A	ll b)☐ Some *.c)☐ None of:		•				
1.[2	Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.					
2.[Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in Applicat	tion No				
3.[* Soo	Copies of the certified copies of the pr application from the International I the attached detailed Office action for a li	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
	owledgment is made of a claim for dome	•					
·	The translation of the foreign language p	•					
	nowledgment is made of a claim for dome	* *					
Attachment(s)	-		•				
2) Notice of	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) In Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	' 5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/864,335

Art Unit: 2852

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the distances between the image carrier and the developer carrier at the nip boundary and shortest distance and between the developer carrier and metering member must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 3, 4, 6, and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 3, 4, 6, and 9 are indefinite because the mean of "a period of time in which a given point on said image carrier moves away from a range in which the magnet brush remains in contact with said image carrier" is unclear. Claim 4 is also indefinite because it repeats a limitation of the claim from which it depends. Claim 8 is

Art Unit: 2852

indefinite because the ratio of a distance and an amount of developer is not a dimensionless number.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nagao (US 5,991,586). Nagao discloses image carrier 1, developer carrier 11,12, main pole N, auxiliary pole S, column 4, lines 1-8, a shortest distance between the image carrier and developer carrier of .35 mm, column 6, line 27, and an amount of scooped up developer of 4-6.5 mg/cm², the ratio of which is less than 10.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/864,335

Art Unit: 2852

Claims 5- 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saijo et al. (US 4,825,241) in view of Shoji et al. (US 5,937,28). Saijo et al. discloses main pole N₁, auxiliary pole S₄, shortest distance between image carrier 100 and developer carrier 5,6 of 0.5 mm, column 5, line 31, shortest distance between image developer carrier and metering member 8 of 0.75 mm, column 5, line 33, and differs from the claimed invention in not disclosing the particular developing bias used. Shoji et al. discloses developing bias wherein an oscillation component occurs at least ten times during the period of time in which a given point of the image carrier is contacted by the magnetic brush because of the frequency of 2.5 kHz to 7 kHz, column 10, lines 17-19, and having an asymmetrical component reducing a period of time during which toner moves toward the image carrier, Figure 2, and column 10, lines 15-17. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the bias of Shoji et al. with the magnetic brush developing device of Saijo et al. in order to develop uniform dots, Shoji et al., column 10, lines 15-19.

Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagao in view of Shoji et al. Nagao, discussed above, differs from the clamed invention in the details of the developing bias. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the bias of Shoji et al. with the magnetic brush developing device of Nagao as explained in the rejection above.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686

Application/Control Number: 09/864,335

Art Unit: 2852

3.

F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1 and 2 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of copending Application No. 09/852,212 in view of Shoji et al. The copending claim differs from the claimed invention in the developing bias. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the bias of Shoji et al. as explained in the rejection above. This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Claims 1, 3, and 4 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of copending Application No. 09/852,212 in view of Nagao. The copending claim differs from the claimed invention in the ratio of the image carrier-developer carrier distance to the scooped up developer amount. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the ratio of Nagao as explained in the rejection above. This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joan Pendegrass whose telephone number is 703-308-2796. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Arthur T Grimley can be reached on 703-308-1373. The fax phone numbers for the organization where

Art Unit: 2852

this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3431 for regular communications and 703-305-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Joan Pendegrass Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2852

jhp

August 12, 2002